



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,373	08/15/2000	Yin Pan	10360-045001	1548
34845	7590	02/27/2006	EXAMINER	
STEUBING MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B	
125 NAGOG PARK				
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

★

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/638,373

Applicant(s)

PAN ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory B. Sefcheck

Art Unit

2662

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/30/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 9-12, 14-20, 23-26, 28-34, 37-40, 42 and 43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 9-12, 14-20, 23-26, 28-34, 37-40, 42 and 43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed 11/30/2005 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1, 5, 15, 29, 42, and 43 have been amended.
- Claims 7, 8, 13, 21, 22, 27, 35, 36, and 41 have been previously cancelled.
- The previous objection to claims 28 and 42 have been withdrawn in light of the present amendments.
- Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-20, 23-26, 28-34, 37-40, 42, and 43 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6, 9, 11, 12, 15-20, 23, 25, 26, 29-34, 37, 39, 40, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertin et al. (US005687167A), hereafter Bertin, in view of Chawla et al. (US006771661B1), hereafter Chawla.

- In regards to Claims 1, 2, 11, 15-16, 25, 29-30, 39, and 43,

Bertin discloses a method of allocating resources on a network. As illustrated in Fig. 2, Bertin shows the method implemented throughout the network utilizing computer

software (claim 15 – computer program) and computer hardware (claim 43 - apparatus) comprising a memory and processor for storing and executing the resource allocation instructions (Col. 4, lines 45-58; claim 29 - apparatus comprising memory and processor for storing and executing instructions).

Referring to Fig. 1, Bertin shows a user specifying a connection request including the destination address for a reservation of network resources, such as bandwidth (Col. 12, lines 64-66; claims 1,15,29,43 – receiving a request for reservation of network resources including destination address; claims 11,25,39 – resources comprise bandwidth of network devices).

Bertin shows that a connection is setup/activated immediately upon receiving non-zero bandwidth replies from each node/link along the intended route (Col. 13, lines 48-55). Furthermore, Bertin shows that control messages are exchanged between routing points indicating when new links are activated (Col. 6, lines 5-23; claim 1,15,29,43 – receiving data indicating an activation time for resources).

Bandwidth resources on the transit nodes (network devices) are then allocated on a path to the end node (destination address) to accommodate the reservation if it is determined that the network devices have sufficient resources to accommodate the reservation (Fig. 1, steps 102-104; Col. 13, lines 1-12; claims 1,15,29,43 – allocating resources on network devices to accommodate the reservation if sufficient resources are available; claims 2,16,30 – determining if network devices on path to destination have sufficient resources to accommodate the reservation).

Referring to Fig. 1, Bertin further shows connection level control information (filter) applied (installed) at the transit and end nodes (devices) of the network. This information allows the bandwidth of the network device to be reserved and the resource allocation policy of the connection to be enforced at these nodes (Col. 13, lines 1-17; Fig. 1, steps 103-105; claims 1,15,29,43 – communicating over the network with at least one policy enforcement point on the path and at an edge of the network; claim 1,15,29,43 - allocating comprises installing filters on the network devices to allocate resources).

Bertin further discloses resource allocation comprising communicating with the transit nodes and end nodes (network devices) of the network (Fig. 1, steps 109-111; Col. 13, lines 5-15; claim 1,15,29,43 - allocating comprises communicating with the network devices).

Bertin further shows applying/installing the control information/filters at the time the connection is set up/activated based on the traffic characteristics (Col. 2, lines 22-30; claim 1,15,29,43 - installing filters at the time of resource activation).

Bertin does not explicitly disclose the receiving data that indicates an Internet Protocol (IP) traffic filter to be installed at a future activation time for activating requested network resources.

Chawla discloses an apparatus and method for providing event-based data communications device configuration. Chawla shows that resource allocations can be made by bandwidth reservations provided to a communications device which can specify a session of data communication and future bandwidth modification information,

such as a time or event, such that resources are allocated to the communications device at a future activation time (Abstract; claim 1,15,29,43 - receiving data that indicates an Internet Protocol (IP) traffic filter to be installed at a future activation time for activating requested network resources).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method, apparatus and computer program of Bertin by enabling resource allocation to devices in a network at a future activation time, as shown by Chawla. This would enable resource allocations for network devices to be automatically and dynamically modified without a need to break active data communications sessions (Chawla, Abstract).

- In regards to Claim 3, 17, and 31,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin further discloses constructing and storing a topology database (topology map) at nodes of the network. Determining and allocating of network resources are performed by referencing the topology database (Col. 8, lines 50-51; Col. 13, lines 1-9; claim 3,17,31 - constructing and storing a topology map; referencing the map when determining and allocating network resources).

- In regards to Claims 4, 18, and 32,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin shows the topology database is updated (constructed) periodically to account for changes that have occurred in the network topology (Fig. 1, step 105; Col. 13, lines 13-17; Col. 15, lines 39-40; claim 4,18,32 - constructing topology map periodically to account for changes in the topology of the network).

- In regards to Claims 5, 19, and 33,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin further discloses determining if a reservation is permitted based on the Bandwidth Reservation Replies (identity) from the transit nodes and end node (transferor). Allocation of resources is then performed if it is determined that the reservation is permitted (Fig. 1, step 104; Col. 13, lines 10-12; claim 5,19,33 - determine if reservation is permitted based on identity of transferor; allocate resources if reservation is permitted).

- In regards to Claims 6, 20, and 34,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin further shows that the allocating of resources is not performed if it is determined that the reservation is not permitted (Col. 13, lines 10-12, 60-62; claim

Art Unit: 2662

6,20,34 - allocating not performed if it is determined that the reservation is not permitted).

- In regards to Claims 9, 23, and 37,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin further shows allocating resources for different priority groups (classes) of traffic (Abstract; Col. 3, lines 23-25; Col. 15, lines 5-7; claim 9,23,37 - allocating resources for different classes of service on the network).

- In regards to Claims 12, 26, and 40,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above.

Bertin further discloses allocating bandwidth based on determining if a link (destination address) has insufficient bandwidth available (predetermined amount of bandwidth; Fig. 1, steps 103-104; Col. 3, lines 57-58; claim 12,26,40 - determining if destination address has greater than a predetermined amount of bandwidth; allocating based on determining)

3. Claims 10, 14, 24, 28, 38, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertin in view of Chawla as applied to claims 9, 11-13, 15-23, 25-27, 29-37, 39-41, and 43 above, and further in view of Ellesson et al. (US006459682B1), hereafter Ellesson.

- In regards to Claims 10, 24, and 38,

Bertin in view of Chawla discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above. Bertin further discloses using information in the packet header to be transmitted over the network.

Bertin does not expressly show the data class of service defined in the packets.

Ellesson discloses a method, apparatus and computer program implementation of controlling packet traffic (resource allocation) in an IP network. Ellesson discloses encoding the traffic class into the headers of the data packets to be transmitted to determine their network priority (Abstract; claim 10,24,38 - classes of service are defined in data packets to be transmitted over the network).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the allocation method, apparatus and program of Bertin by explicitly defining the service class of data traffic within the data packet to be transmitted over the network, as taught by Ellesson. This modification would provide class of service information for incoming data to each transit node without requiring the additional

bandwidth of a separate information/signaling channel between each node along the path to the destination address.

- In regards to Claims 14, 28, and 42,

Bertin discloses a method, apparatus and computer program for allocating network resources that covers all limitations of the parent claims above. Bertin further shows that the headers of the incoming data packets include Control Fields that includes an interpretation of the protocol used to communicate the routing information to each of the transit nodes along the path to the destination address.

Bertin does not expressly show this communication using the COPS/RSVP protocol.

Elleson discloses a method, apparatus and computer program implementation of controlling packet traffic in an IP network. Elleson shows an RSVP protocol-based reservation system for communicating bandwidth allocations to network devices (Col. 3, lines 3-7; claim 14,28,42 - communicating takes place using COPS/RSVP protocol).

It would have been an obvious design choice to implement the allocation method, apparatus and program of Bertin by communicating with the network devices using the COPS/RSVP protocol, as taught by Elleson, to effectively communicate the resources necessary for accommodating a reservation to each transit node and the end node along the path to the destination address.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pgs. 9-12 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that the combination of Bertin and Chawla or the combination of Bertin, Chawla and Elleson does not disclose a system or method for allocating resources on a network in which the activation of requested reservations at a future time are indicated in a previous reservation request by communicating over a network to install an IP traffic filter at a policy enforcement point.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the rejection above, Fig. 1 of Bertin reads on the Applicant's claimed "filters" by disclosing "connection reservations" that are applied (installed) to each node (policy enforcement point) along the path of the network (Internet), in response to "connection requests". It is admitted that Bertin does not explicitly disclose communicating data that indicates a future activation time for filter installation. However, the rejection relies on the disclosure of Chawla to meet this claimed limitation. Chawla explicitly discloses communicating data that specifies future bandwidth modification information, such as the claimed filter, via a network policy. Activation/installation of the bandwidth modification filter is performed at a future time based on a specified time or event. Combination of Bertin and Chawla is proper because both prior art references pertain to

network resource allocation. Furthermore, modifying Bertin with the future activation shown in Chawla would enable changes in network resource allocation to occur "on the fly", without the need to break the active sessions in the network.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GBS
2-16-2006

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 2/22/06
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600